## Data Driven Decisions:

Electronic ID Tags and Individual Animal Management

Julie Finzel

**UC Cooperative Extension** 

Kern, Tulare, and Kings Counties





#### Let's Grow Initiatives

 Response to decline in U.S. sheep numbers

Drop 1-2% each year

• 402 million lbs of lamb of in 2021

• Almost imp

• Focus or flock

sheep در





## On the Ground – Producing more Lamb

- Collect DNA (tissue) samples and tag rams & lambs – 5 ranches
- Use Superior's Flock 54 test
- Determine parentage of lambs
- Focus on market lambs
- Attribute lamb carcass qualities to rams



#### About the Producers


	Ranch A	Ranch B	Ranch C	Ranch D	Ranch E
Flock size	Large	Large	Small	Large	Large
Breeds of Rams	Black and White-	White-face	Black-face	Composite	Black and White-
	face				face
Ram:Ewe Ratio	1:10	1:35	1:30-35	1:50	1:40
Average Lamb Crop	145%	130%	140-150%	145-150%	115%
Length of Breeding	75 days	75 days	62 days	120 days	185 days
Season					
Avg Weaning	85-110 lbs	70-95 lbs	60 lbs (110-115	105-110 lbs (4-7	110-115 lbs
Weights			days; ~4 mos)	mos)	
Use EID's	Yes	Yes – on maternal	Yes – on all animals	No	No
		flock			
Traits tracked with	None	Health, pregnant vs.	Disease, BCS,	n/a	n/a
EID's		open, twins, wool	vaccines, wormers,		
		microns	dam of lambs		





## Samples Collected


	RAMS	LAMBS	)		
		Males	Females	Parentage	Carcass
TOTAL COLLECTED 2963	305	1669	989	2658	545
Ranch A	62	662	7	606 (63)	209
Ranch B	37	423	406	796 ( <mark>33</mark> )	315 ( <mark>21</mark> )
Ranch C	6	36	44	80 (0)	0
Ranch D	12	331	310	623 ( <mark>19</mark> F)	0
Ranch E	11	217	222	149 (74)	0
TOTAL ANALYZED	128	1452	989	2254	524 SARE








### Flock Variation: Meat v.s. Wool breeds



#### Number of Ram Lambs Per Sire – Ranch A

669 lambs submitted 606 (91%) matched to sires

83 Possible Sires 62 Rams with identified progeny



Top ten most prolific breeders sired 55% of lambs submitted


Ram to Ewe Ratio 1:10



### Number of Lambs per Sire – Ranch B

829 lambs submitted 796 (96%) w/ identified sires

150 Possible Sires 37 Rams with identified progeny



Top ten most prolific breeders sired 61% of lambs submitted

Ram to Ewe Ratio 1:35



### Number of Lambs Called Per Sire – Ranch C

80 Lambs submitted 80 (100%) w/identified sires

7 Possible Sires

6 Rams with

identified progeny

8 **33 22** 

Top two most prolific breeders sired 69% of lambs submitted


Ram to Ewe Ratio 1:30-35



#### **Number of Lambs Called Per Sire – Ranch D**

642 lambs submitted 623 (97%) w/identified sires

40 Potential Sires 12 Rams with identified progeny



Top five most prolific breeders sired 69% of lambs analyzed

Ram to Ewe Ratio 1:50



#### Number of Ewe Lambs Per Sire – Ranch E

222 ewe lambs submitted 149 (67%) w/identified sires

**59 20** 

Top three most prolific breeders sired 74% of lambs analyzed

25 Possible Sires
11 Rams with
identified
progeny

Ram to Ewe Ratio 1:40



## Results...

Ranch B	Hot Weight	Yield Grade	Quality Grade	Breast	Rack	Shoulder Square Cut	Legs	осс	OCC Yield
n=315									
AVERAGE	76.1	2.7		9.5	8.7	19.0	24.6	50.7	67.3
STD	11.6	0.5		2.0	1.4	2.5	3.6	7.4	1.1
MIN	41.1	1.4	Good	3.4	4.3	11.5	14.1	28.0	64.3
MAX	106.9	4.6	Prime	14.6	12.5	25.9	34.3	69.7	71.4

Ranch A	Hot Weight	Yield Grade	Quality Grade	Breast	Rack	Shoulder Square Cut	Legs	осс	OCC Yield
n=209									
AVERAGE	73.5	2.7		8.9	8.2	18.4	24.2	49.3	67.8
STD	10.2	0.5		1.8	1.2	2.2	3.1	6.5	1.1
MIN	50.4	1.4	Good	4.4	5.1	13.4	17.1	34.7	63.9
MAX	102.4	4.2	Choice	14.2	12	24.2	32.6	67.7	72.6



#### Progeny Differences in Hot Weight & OCC – Ranch A **SD5643** R0234 Avg. HCW =OCC Avg. = OCC EPD ■ Hot Weight EPD

-6

-8

-10

-12

-14

-16

73.18 LBS.

49.18 LBS.

progeny

Difference in pounds of lamb among sires

## Managing Individuals vs. Managing the Flock

- More Effective Culling
- Increase lbs weaned per ewe
- Reduce lamb grafting

 Reduce \$\$ spent on animal health




#### Tools for Genetic Selection

- Visual Assessment
- Individual Animal ID (no EID)
- Paper Records
- Electronic Records
- Paint Brands
- Ear Notches/Marks
- Ultrasound (preg testing)
- Electronic ID Tags





#### EID's and Individual Animal Records



- Managing individuals creates genetic change
- Improves reliability of data
- Facilitates animal disease traceability







## Producer Viewpoint

"When you're tending to 5,000 to 8,000 sheep (or 5 to 8 "bands") every year, having every ram, ewe and lamb microchipped saves ranch hands both time and labor while increasing information accuracy." Evan Helle (AG Daily, 11/5/20)







## Using EID's to Eliminate OPP in a Flock

- 2 Western Range Flocks
- Tested Ewes for OPP
- Positive band kept separate
- No replacements kept from OPP positive flock
- Reduction in death loss and \$\$ on animal health
- Estimated \$12/ewe saved total



## When Considering EID's...

Recommend thorough analysis

Discuss with all affected parties

- Requires some experience with Excel
- Consider costs vs. returns







## Using Data to Make Decisions

			EZ Care	Average 100-day	2021	Age	Weight	Total	
Tag	Breeding Group	Age	Score	Wt	Deworm	Score	Score	Index	Keep or Sell
2201	Term/Shrop	2	3	108	0	1	2	6	Keep
2236	Term/Shrop	3	3	114	0	1	2	6	Keep
2221	Term/Shrop	3	2	110	0	1	2	5	Keep
2104	Term/Shrop	5	3	121	0	-1	2	4	Keep
2229	BFL/WF	2	3	76	0	1	0	4	Keep
2290	BFL/WF	4	3	124	1	0	2	4	Keep
2300	BFL/WF	4	3	91	1	0	1	3	Keep
2206	BFL/WF	2	3	28	0	1	-2	2	Sell
163	Term/Shrop	8	3	73	0	-1	-1	1	Sell
2101	Term/Shrop	5	2	50	0	-1	-2	0	Sell





## Partial Budget Analysis

- Four Questions:
  - What new or additional costs will be incurred?
  - What current income will be lost or reduced?
  - What new or additional income will be received?
  - What current costs will be reduced or eliminated?

 https://uwyoextension.org/ranchtools/wp-content/uploads/2018/01/B1304partial-budget.pdf





Mock Partial Budget Analysis

#### Objectives:


- 1) Increase lbs weaned/ewe by 10%
- Increase mothering ability of ewes

#### **Assumptions:**

- 3,000 ewe base flock
- Labor is \$172.25/man/day

New costs	Cost/Price	Quantity	Initial Cost	Annual Costs/ Returns
EID Tag Reader	\$2,500	1	(\$2,500)	\$0
EID Tags (Ewes)	\$1	750	(\$750)	\$0
EID Tags (Lambs)	\$1	900	(\$900)	(\$900)
Labor - hired	\$172.25	3	(\$517)	\$0
Labor - producer?				
			(\$4,150)	(\$3600)
Income Lost/Reduced	\$0		\$0	\$0
New/Additional Income				
10% Increase lbs Lamb	\$3	31,500		\$94,500
Costs Reduced				
Less Hrs Grafting				
Lambs	\$172.25	3		\$517
		Net Total:	(\$4,150)	\$87,267

## Analyzing Risk








## Individual Animal Management

 Wanting to make change in your flock

- Know your starting point
  - Identify key production traits
  - Measure those traits
- Clearly define where you want to go
  - Track progress towards goals
  - Adapt as needed







#### How to start using RFID tags as your Scrapie Tag

- First, you must obtain a Flock ID and a National Premise Number (PIN) or Location Identification Number (LID). Get PIN/LID from your State Animal Health office, then call call 866-USDA-Tag (866-873-2824) to get your Flock ID and to have PIN/LID linked to your Flock ID.
- The USDA 840 official numbers will be linked to your Flock and Premise number for traceability.
- Then you purchase the 840 Scrapie tags from an approved provider.







## National Scrapie Eradication Program

- USDA Scrapie Eradication Program
  - https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/animal-diseaseinformation/sheep-and-goat-health/national-scrapie-eradication-program
- How to get a Premise ID or Scrapie ID
  - Call 866-USDA-Tag (873-2825) or contact your state veterinarian's office
- How to get 840 EID's
  - https://www.aphis.usda.gov/aphis/ourfocus/animalhealth/animal-diseaseinformation/sheep-and-goat-health/scrapie-tags/id





#### References

- USDA, ERS. 2022. Lamb and Mutton Imports, Monthly.
- USDA, NASS. 2022. Lamb and Mutton Slaughter in Pounds
- Weigel, K.A., P.M. VanRaden, H.D. Norman, and H. Grosu. 2017. A 100-year review: Methods and Impact of Genetic Selection in Dairy Cattle – From daughter-dam comparisons to deep learning algorithms. Journal of Dairy Science 100:10234-10250. https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.2017-12954
- Macon, D. 2018. Electronic Identification Systems for Small-scale Livestock Producers: A Case Study. Publication #31-1009. November 2018
- https://www.agdaily.com/livestock/montana-helle-rambouillet-woolfamily-heritage-modernbrand/#:~:text=The%20extreme%20Montana%20temperatures%20help,to %20it%20than%20other%20wools.





### THANK EWE!







# USDA IJCDAVIS



